

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,829	09/17/2003	Richard L. Leland	TRM011U	4872	
7:	7590 03/08/2006			EXAMINER	
	cker, Perreault & Pfleg	DUNN, DAVID R			
55 South Commercial Street Manchester, NH 03101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616		

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,829	LELAND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Dunn	3616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 De</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
<u> </u>		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 is/are withdrawn fro</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4 and 6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/12/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

ব

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I in the reply filed on December 27, 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claim 5 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on December 27, 2005.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "instrument panel", "covering", "inflatable airbag device", and "weakening groove comprising a V-shaped configuration" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

Application/Control Number: 10/664,829 Page 3

Art Unit: 3616

renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hazell et al. (US 6,070,901).

Hazell et al. discloses an instrument panel having a weakening groove (20) comprising a double angle V-shaped configuration (see Figure 3).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hazell et al. alone.

Hazell et al. is discussed above but does not show the specific degrees of the angles.

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hazell et al. to construct angle A at 15 degrees and angle B at 110 degrees at such changes in shape involves only routine skill in the art; additionally applicant has not stated that having the angles at these particular degrees solves any particular stated problem.

8. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi (US 6,612,607) in view of Bromer et al. (US 3,761,374).

Takahashi discloses an instrument panel having a weakening groove having a V-shaped configuration of double angles (see Figure 1).

Takahashi does not show the exact double angle configuration as claimed.

Bromer et al. teaches a cutting blade having the double angled configuration.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Takahashi with the teachings of Bromer et al. to provide the double angle V-shaped groove in order to provide a simplified groove. Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct angle A at 15 degrees and angle B at 110 degrees at such changes in shape involves only routine skill in the art; additionally applicant has not stated that having the angles at these particular degrees solves any particular stated problem.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,829

Art Unit: 3616

Conclusion

Page 5

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Taylor et al. shows a similar cutting blade. Sommer shows an instrument panel of

interest.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-6670. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866 217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3616